McCord is due to appear on a local DC TV show in a few minutes so instead of starting the paper, having read your 4/24/74 on SLA, a hasty response to it.

As you suspected, it contains only what has not appeared in the locaol press on on any electronic reporting I caught.

You imply a significance I believe can't be questioned. There is no useful purpose served by any police interception of what it will get in any event. The mere fact that the police do intrude must be taken as a sign of police intentions. What can these be other than what to the reasonable mind would guarantee making a very bad situation much, much worse?

What should be done probably won't be and I think Hearst is the last guy to think of it and the first one who should. Is there a court order for the obvious tapping of phones? If not, why not?

If there is no court order, there should be an immediate civil action.

All of this can be taken as an indication that the police are intent not upon being good cops but on inflaming this mess to its fullest potential.

Zebra has gotten considerable attention here. So has the police tactic.

Hasty thanks, HW 4/29/74